

Official Form 417A (12/18)

[Caption as in Form 416A, 416B, or 416D, as appropriate]

NOTICE OF APPEAL AND STATEMENT OF ELECTION

Part 1: Identify the appellant(s)

1. Name(s) of appellant(s): JAMIE LYNN GALLIAN

2. Position of appellant(s) in the adversary proceeding or bankruptcy case that is the subject of this appeal:

For appeals in an adversary proceeding.

Plaintiff
 Defendant
 Other (describe) _____

For appeals in a bankruptcy case and not in an adversary proceeding.

Debtor
 Creditor
 Trustee
 Other (describe) _____

Ruling 7/21/2022, Bankruptcy Court sustained Interested party, Houser Bros dba Rancho Del Rey Mobilehome Estates and joinder's objection to Debtor's Declared

Part 2: Identify the subject of this appeal

1. Describe the judgment, order, or decree appealed from: Homestead and Debtor's Homestead Election
Order has not been entered as of _____

2. State the date on which the judgment, order, or decree was entered: the date of this filing 8/5/2022

Part 3: Identify the other parties to the appeal

List the names of all parties to the judgment, order, or decree appealed from and the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of their attorneys (attach additional pages if necessary):

1. Party: <u>Houser Bros Co dba Rancho Del Rey Mobilehome Estates,</u>	Attorney: <u>Marshack Hays LLP</u> <u>D. Edward Hays</u> <u>870 Roosevelt</u> <u>Irvine, CA 92620</u> <u>949-333-7777</u>
2. Party: <u>Chaprer 7 Trustee (Joinder)</u>	Attorney: <u>Jeffrey Golden, Trustee</u> <u>Weiland Golden Goodrich LLP</u> <u>650 Town Center Dr. #950</u> <u>Costa Mesa, CA 92626</u> <u>714-271-0010</u>
3. Party: <u>Huntington Beach Gables Homeowners Association (Joinder)</u>	Attorney: <u>Goe Forsythe & Hodges LLP</u> <u>Robert Goe</u> <u>18101 Von Karman Ave. #1200</u> <u>Irvine, CA 92612</u> <u>949-798-2460</u>
4. Party: <u>Janine Jasso, ESQ. (Joinder)</u>	Attorney: <u>Janine Jasso, Esq.</u> <u>16025 Warmington Lane</u> <u>Huntington Beach, CA 92649</u>
	P.O. Box 370161 El Paso, Texas 79937 213-247-6030

Part 4: Optional election to have appeal heard by District Court (applicable only in certain districts)

If a Bankruptcy Appellate Panel is available in this judicial district, the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel will hear this appeal unless, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 158(c)(1), a party elects to have the appeal heard by the United States District Court. If an appellant filing this notice wishes to have the appeal heard by the United States District Court, check below. Do not check the box if the appellant wishes the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel to hear the appeal.

Appellant(s) elect to have the appeal heard by the United States District Court rather than by the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel.

Part 5: Sign below



IN PRO PER

8/3/2022

Date: _____

Signature of attorney for appellant(s) (or appellant(s) if not represented by an attorney)

Name, address, and telephone number of attorney (or appellant(s) if not represented by an attorney):

16222 Monterey Lane Unit 376

Huntington Beach, CA 92649

714-321-3449

jamiegallian@gmail.com

Fee waiver notice: If appellant is a child support creditor or its representative and appellant has filed the form specified in § 304(g) of the Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1994, no fee is required.

[Note to inmate filers: If you are an inmate filer in an institution and you seek the timing benefit of Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8002(c)(1), complete Director's Form 4170 (Declaration of Inmate Filing) and file that declaration along with the Notice of Appeal.]

1 D. EDWARD HAYS, #162507
1 ehays@marshackhays.com
2 LAILA MASUD, #311731
2 lmasud@marshackhays.com
3 BRADFORD N. BARNHARDT, #328705
3 bbarnhardt@marshackhays.com
4 MARSHACK HAYS LLP
4 870 Roosevelt
5 Irvine, CA 92620
5 Telephone: (949) 333-7777
6 Facsimile: (949) 333-7778

FILED & ENTERED

AUG 05 2022

CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
Central District of California
BY jle DEPUTY CLERK

7 Attorneys for Movant and Creditor,
7 HOUSER BROS. CO. dba RANCHO DEL
8 REY MOBILE HOME ESTATES

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SANTA ANA DIVISION

11 In re

Case No. 8:21-bk-11710-ES

12 JAMIE LYNN GALLIAN,

Chapter 7

13 Debtor.

14 ORDER GRANTING HOUSER BROS. CO.
15 DBA RANCHO DEL REY MOBILE HOME
16 ESTATES'S MOTION OBJECTING TO
17 DEBTOR'S CLAIMED HOMESTEAD
18 EXEMPTION IN 16222 MONTEREY LANE,
19 SPACE #376, HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA
20 92649, DOCKET NO. 95

21 HEARING DATE

22 Date: July 21, 2022

23 Time: 10:30 a.m.

24 Ctrm: 5A

25 Location: 411 W. Fourth Street, Santa Ana, CA
26 92701

27 On May 12, 2022, Houser Bros. Co. dba Rancho Del Rey Mobile Home Estates
28 (“Houser Bros.”) filed a “Motion Objecting to Debtor’s Claimed Homestead Exemption”
29 (“Motion”). Docket No. 95. The Motion was set for hearing on June 2, 2022, at 10:30 a.m.
30 Docket No. 99.

31 On May 13, 2022, The Huntington Beach Gables Homeowners Association (“HOA”)
32 filed a “Joinder to Houser Bros. Co. dba Rancho Del Rey Mobile Home Estates’ Motion
33 Objecting to Debtor’s Claimed Homestead Exemption” (“HOA Joinder”). Docket No. 98.

1 On May 16, 2022, Janine Jasso (“Ms. Jasso,” and together with the HOA, the “Joining
2 Parties”) filed a “Joinder to Houser Bros. Co. dba Rancho Del Rey Mobile Home Estates’
3 Motion Objecting to Debtor’s Claimed Homestead Exemption” (“Jasso Joinder”). Docket No.
4 100.

5 On June 1, 2022, Jamie Lynn Gallian (“Debtor”) filed “Debtors Reply Opposition,
6 Memorandum of Points and Authorities to Houser Bros. Co. dba Rancho Del Rey Mobile Home
7 Estates’ Motion Objecting to Debtor’s Claimed Homestead Exemption and Joinder Parties
8 Huntington Beach Gables HOA; Janine Jasso” (“Opposition”). Docket No. 105.

9 On June 2, 2022, at 10:30 a.m., the Court conducted a hearing on the Motion. All
10 appearances were as noted on the record. Before the hearing, the Court published a tentative
11 ruling to continue the hearing on the Motion to July 21, 2022, at 10:30 a.m. to allow Houser
12 Bros. and the Joining Parties to file a reply to Debtor’s late Opposition. The Court’s tentative
13 ruling cautioned that “[n]o other pleadings may be filed,” and that “in the future, the court will
14 not consider any pleadings filed by Debtor after the day they are due.”

15 On June 23, 2022, the Court entered an “Order Continuing Hearing on Motion Objecting
16 to Debtor’s Claimed Homestead Exemption” (“June 23, 2022, Order”), which attached a copy
17 of the Court’s tentative ruling for the June 2, 2022, hearing. Docket No. 124. The June 23, 2022,
18 Order, provided as follows:

- 19 1) The hearing on the Motion is continued to July 21, 2022, at 10:30 a.m. to allow
20 Houser Bros. and/or the Joining Parties to file a reply/replies to Debtor’s late
21 opposition filed on June 1, 2022;
- 22 2) Any replies by Houser Bros. or the Joining Parties must be filed by July 7, 2022;
23 and
- 24 3) No other pleadings may be filed regarding the Motion. Docket No. 124.

25 On June 30, 2022, Chapter 7 Trustee Jeffrey I. Golden filed “Trustee’s Joinder in Houser
26 Bros. Co. dba Rancho Del Rey Mobile Home Estates’ Motion Objecting to Debtor’s Claimed
27 Homestead Exemption” (“Trustee Joinder”). Docket No. 128.

28

1 On July 7, 2022, the HOA filed a “Reply to Debtor’s Opposition to Motion Objecting to
2 Debtor’s Claimed Homestead Exemption” (“HOA Reply”). Docket No. 129.

3 That same day, Houser Bros. filed:

- 4 1) “Reply to Debtor’s Opposition to Motion Objecting to Claimed Homestead
5 Exemption” (“Houser Bros. Reply”), Docket No. 130;
- 6 2) “Declaration of Vivienne J. Alston Re: Motion Objecting to Debtor’s Claimed
7 Homestead Exemption” (“Alston Declaration”), Docket No. 131;
- 8 3) “Declaration of Greg Buysman Re: Motion Objecting to Debtor’s Claimed
9 Homestead Exemption” (“Buysman Declaration”), Docket No. 132; and
- 10 4) “Declaration of Chris Houser Re: Motion Objecting to Debtor’s Claimed
11 Homestead Exemption” (“Houser Declaration”), Docket No. 133.

12 On July 8, 2022, Debtor filed an unauthorized “Reply to Greg Buysman, CA Notary
13 Public Commission Number 2341449; Owner & Operator the UPS Store, Edinger/Springdale.”
14 Docket No. 134.¹

15 Before the July 21, 2022, hearing, the Court published a tentative ruling to grant the
16 Motion. A true and correct copy of the Court’s tentative ruling for the July 21, 2022, hearing is
17 attached as **Exhibit 1**.

18 On July 21, 2022, at 10:30 a.m., the Court held a continued hearing on the Motion.
19 Appearances were as noted on the record. During the hearing, all parties were given an
20 opportunity to be heard. The Court, having read and considered the Motion, the HOA Joinder,
21 the Jasso Joinder, the Opposition, the Trustee Joinder, the HOA Reply, the Houser Bros. Reply,
22 the Alston Declaration, the Buysman Declaration, and the Houser Declaration, and all other
23 pleadings and papers filed in this case, and having heard the arguments made on the record
24 during the July 21, 2022, hearing, for the set forth in the motion and reply papers, the attached
25 tentative ruling, and on the record during the hearing, the Court enters its order as follows:
26

27 ¹ The Court did not formally consider this pleading because Debtor filed it in violation of the June
28 23, 2022, Order’s requirement that no other pleadings were to be filed other than replies by Houser
Bros. and the Joining Parties. Even if the Court were to consider this improper pleading, the
evidence presented therein would have been insufficient to alter or change the Court’s ruling.

1 IT IS ORDERED that the Motion is granted and Houser Bros.'s objection in the Motion
2 to Debtor's claimed homestead exemption in 16222 Monterey Lane, Space #376, Huntington
3 Beach, CA 92649 pursuant to § 704.730 of the California Code of Civil Procedure is sustained.
4 Any claim of exemption by Debtor in the Property is disallowed.

5 # # #
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 Date: August 5, 2022
24
25
26
27
28



Erithe Smith
United States Bankruptcy Judge

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Santa Ana
Erithe Smith, Presiding
Courtroom 5A Calendar**

Thursday, July 21, 2022

Hearing Room 5A

10:30 AM

8:21-11710 Jamie Lynn Gallian

Chapter 7

#32.00 CON'TD Hearing RE: Creditor Houser Bros. Co. dba Rancho Del Rey Mobile Home Estates Motion Objecting to Debtor's Claimed Homestead Exemption

FR: 6-2-22

Docket 95

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

June 2, 2022

Continue hearing to July 21, 2022 at 10:30 a.m. to allow Movant/Joining Parties to file a reply to Debtor's late opposition filed on June 1, 2022, which reply(ies) must be filed by July 7, 2022. No other pleadings may be filed.

Basis for Tentative Ruling:

1. Because Debtor filed her opposition one day prior to the hearing, the court has not had an opportunity to review it and the Movant was not afforded the opportunity to file a reply to the opposition. Under the Local Bankruptcy Rules, the court may exclude late-filed pleadings and not consider them at all. On this one occasion, the court will make an exception and allow the opposition. However, in the future, the court will not consider any pleadings filed by Debtor after the day they are due.
2. Based solely on the timely filed Motion and joinders, the court would be inclined to grant the Motion.

July 21, 2022

Grant the Motion objecting to Debtor's homestead exemption claim.

United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Santa Ana
Erithe Smith, Presiding
Courtroom 5A Calendar

Thursday, July 21, 2022

Hearing Room 5A

10:30 AM

CONT... Jamie Lynn Gallian

Chapter 7

Preliminary Comments re the Tentative Ruling:

This hearing was continued from June 2, 2022 solely because Debtor filed a late opposition *one day* prior to the hearing on June 1, 2022. The continuance was to allow the Movant and Joining Parties to file a reply to the June 1 opposition by July 7, 2022. The court expressly ruled that *no other pleadings were to be filed*. Notwithstanding that ruling, Debtor filed an additional pleading on July 8, 2022 [dkt. 134] without obtaining leave from the court (with notice to the Movant and Joining Parties) to do so. Accordingly, the pleading will not be formally considered by the court. The court notes parenthetically that even if it were to consider the improper pleading, the evidence presented therein would be insufficient to alter or change the court's ruling.

Basis for Tentative Ruling:

A. The Motion is Timely

The court must first determine whether the objection was timely. *In re Smith*, 235 F.3d 472, 475 (9th Cir. 2000) (stating that before reaching the merits of debtor's purported exemption, the court must determine whether the Creditors' objections were timely). An objection to an exemption must be filed within 30 days after the conclusion of a debtor's meeting of creditors or the filing of any exemption amendment. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4003(b)(1). Here, the Motion was timely filed on May 12, 2022, as the Debtor's meeting of creditors concluded on May 3, 2022.

B. Movant has provided evidence sufficient to grant the Motion

At the time a petition for bankruptcy is filed, "all legal or equitable interests of the debtor in property" become available to satisfy creditors' claims and costs of the proceedings unless the assets are "exempted" from use in satisfying claims of creditors and other authorized charges." *In re Sewell*, 180 F.3d 707, 710 (5th Cir. 1999); FRBP § 541(a)(1). The applicable state law determines bankruptcy exemptions on the date of the filing of the

United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Santa Ana
Erithe Smith, Presiding
Courtroom 5A Calendar

Thursday, July 21, 2022

Hearing Room 5A

10:30 AM

CONT... Jamie Lynn Gallian

Chapter 7

original bankruptcy petition. *In re Bassin*, 637 F.2d 668, 670 (9th Cir. 1980). California has, per FRBP § 522(b)(1), has "opted out" of the federal exemption scheme and therefore California debtors may claim only those exemptions allowable under California law.

1. Houser Bros has standing to bring the Motion

FRBP 4003(b) provides that a party in interest may file an objection to the list of property claimed by debtor as exempt. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4003(b). Here, Houser Bros is certainly a party in interest. First, Houser Bros is a creditor. In her Amended Schedules [dkt. 75], Debtor listed Houser Bros. as a creditor, with a contingent, unliquidated, disputed claim against the Property of unknown amount. Second, On January 2, 2019, Houser Bros. filed a "Complaint for Forcible Entry/Detainer (Mobilehome Park)" ("OCSC Complaint") against Debtor in Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2019-01041423-CL-UD-CJC ("OCSC Action"). Hays Declaration, Ex. 3. Debtor's claimed homestead exemption, if allowed, will bind Houser Bros. Reply, 7.

2. There is no applicable recorded homestead

Generally, claimed exemptions are "presumptively valid" and the objecting party thus bears the burden of proving that the exemption is improper. *In re Carter*, 182 F.3d 1027, 1029 n. 3 (9th Cir. 1999); FRBP § 4003(c). However, the Supreme Court in *Raleigh v. Illinois Dept of Revenue*, 530 US 15, 20-21 (2000) held that because burden of proof is substantive, in the absence of a federal interest requiring a different result, the state law allocation of the burden should apply in bankruptcy objection to claims. The Ninth Circuit Bankruptcy Appellate Panel concluded that, where a state law exemption statute specifically allocates the burden of proof to the debtor, FRBP § 4003(c) does not change that allocation. *In re Diaz*, 547 B.R. 329, 337 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2016). *In re Diaz* is persuasive given the U.S. Supreme Court's holding in *Raleigh*. California has placed the ultimate burden of proof on the party claiming the exemption. CCP §§ 703.580(b), 704.780(a). Although the burden of proof lies with the party claiming the exemption, exemption statutes are generally construed in favor of the debtor. *Kono v. Meeker K*, 196 Cal. App. 4th 81, 86 (2011).

United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Santa Ana
Erithe Smith, Presiding
Courtroom 5A Calendar

Thursday, July 21, 2022

Hearing Room 5A

10:30 AM

CONT... Jamie Lynn Gallian

Chapter 7

Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 704.780(a), the burden to show a debtor's entitlement to a homestead exemption rests with the debtor, unless a declared homestead has been recorded. Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 704.780(a). The objecting party need only provide evidence sufficient to meet the "preponderance of the evidence" standard. *In re Kelley*, 300 B.R. 11, 16 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 2003). If the objecting party produces evidence to rebut the claimed exemption, the burden of production then shifts to the debtor to demonstrate the exemption is proper. Fed. R. Evid. R. 301. The debtor's standard of proof is also "preponderance of the evidence." *United States ex rel. Farmers Home Admin. v. Arnold & Baker Farms*, 177 B.R. 648, 654 (9th Cir. BAP 1994).

Here, Debtor bears the burden of proof to show entitlement to the homestead exemption because the Orange County Treasurer-Tax Collector records do not indicate that there is a "current homeowner's exemption or disabled veteran's exemption" for the Property. A copy of the 2021-22 tax bill for the Property is attached to the Hays Declaration as Exhibit 24.

Although Debtor claims to have recorded a Homestead Declaration, she did so with the Orange County Clerk-Recorder, not the Orange County Treasurer-Tax Collector. Declared homesteads are not applicable to sales by a bankruptcy trustee. See *In re Sain*, 584 B.R. 325, 329 (Bankr. S.D. Cal. 2018) (indicating that only the automatic homestead was available to a debtor who held a recorded declaration of homestead, because the debtor had not elected a declared homestead exemption in his schedules, and declared homesteads are not applicable to sales by bankruptcy trustees); see also *Kelley v. Locke (In re Kelley)*, 300 B.R. 11, 21 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2003) (noting that in the bankruptcy context, a debtor's declaration of homestead "helps him not at all, as the additional benefits conferred in Article 5 [Sections 704.910-704.995] would benefit him only in the situation of a voluntary sale"). Therefore, Debtor bears the burden of proof for the homestead exemption.

3. Debtor failed to meet her burden that the Property is subject to exemption

In *In re Shaefers*, the Ninth Circuit BAP found that a Chapter 7 debtor

United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Santa Ana
Erithe Smith, Presiding
Courtroom 5A Calendar

Thursday, July 21, 2022

Hearing Room 5A

10:30 AM

CONT... Jamie Lynn Gallian

Chapter 7

cannot claim homestead exemption in limited liability company (LLC) that he owned, which owned real property at which debtor resided; debtor did not identify any beneficial or equitable interest in the property, and LLC members such as debtor had no interest in the company's assets, rather, debtor's interest in LLC was a personal property interest outside the statutory definition of a homestead. 623 B.R. 777 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2020).

Here, Debtor has failed to meet her burden that the Property is subject to exemption. First, the HCD records show that J-Sandcastle LLC, not Debtor, was the Property's owner of record on the Petition Date. As of June 7, 2021—about a month before the Petition Date—the Property's registered owner was J-Sandcastle LLC, and the legal owners were Pierpont and J-Pad LLC. Hays Decl., Ex. 17 at 142. The HCD webpage indicates that "documents and fees" must be submitted to the HCD to transfer ownership of a manufactured home or manufactured home. The June 7, 2021, Title Search did not note any pending applications for title or registration change that might have added Debtor as the registered or legal owner of the Property before the Petition Date. And, Debtor paid no fees to the HCD between June 7, 2021, and the Petition Date that could have effectuated a title change. See Hays Decl., Ex. 26.

Moreover, the July 2021 HCD Transaction had a transaction date of July 14, 2021—five days after the Petition Date—and included a certificate of title showing J-Sandcastle LLC as the Property's registered owner and Pierpont and J-Pad LLC as the Property's legal owners. Hays Decl., Ex. 21 at 171. Included in this post-petition transaction was a document to add Debtor as the Property's "New Registered Owner." *Id.* at 172. Also attached was a County of Orange "Tax Clearance Certificate" issued and executed on the Petition Date, which gave the Property's "Current Registered Owner" as J-Sandcastle LLC. *Id.* at 191. The August 2021 HCD Transaction did include a certificate of title showing Debtor as the Property's registered owner, but according to the certificate, title was issued on August 3, 2021, nearly a month after the Petition Date. Hays Decl., Ex. 22 at 195.

Second, between February 1, 2021, and the Petition Date, all payments that Debtor submitted to Houser Bros. listed J-Sandcastle LLC as the payor/were on behalf of J-Sandcastle LLC. Only after the Petition Date

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Santa Ana
Erithe Smith, Presiding
Courtroom 5A Calendar**

Thursday, July 21, 2022

Hearing Room 5A

10:30 AM

CONT... Jamie Lynn Gallian

Chapter 7

did Debtor submit a payment on her own behalf. See Hays Decl. Ex. 23 at 203-222.

Third, Debtor provides no credible evidence that she acquired an interest from the LLC on February 25, 2021. In Debtor's Original Schedules, filed on the Petition Date (July 9, 2021), Debtor provided, under penalty of perjury, that "Registered Title with HCD Debtor's single member LLC, J-Sandcastle Co, LLC." Motion at 33 (Exhibit 2). In addition, Debtor, in the Opposition, asserts J-Sandcastle LLC's executed a notarized release of title document, claiming: "On the petition date July 9, 2021, the registered title owner of the manufactured home located at 16222 Monterey Lane, Unit #376, Huntington Beach, CA 92649 ('Property') was Jamie Lynn Gallian as of February 25, 2021, the date J-Sandcastle Co LLC signed and dated to release the Certificate of Title to Jamie Lynn Gallian, notarized the same date." Opp'n., 29. However, Mr. Buysman did not actually notarize these documents. Instead, Mr. Buysman's notary book shows that on February 25, 2021, he notarized for Debtor an "Affidavit of Death" and a "Transfer Grant Deed." Buysman Decl., ¶7-11. Mr. Buysman did not notarize the July 2021 HCD Submission either. *Id.* Debtor's improperly filed July 8 response, even if considered by the court, would be insufficient to counter the statements and documentary evidence set forth in the Buysman Declaration.

Fourth, any alleged unrecorded transfer of title to Debtor personally was ineffective. See *In re Farokhirad*, Case No. 8:21-bk-10026-MW, ECF No. 98 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. Apr. 29, 2021) (sustaining a trustee's objection to an individual debtor's claimed homestead exemption in property held by a wholly owned LLC, and indicating that a provision of the LLC agreement purporting to transfer an interest in the property to the debtor prepetition was ineffective because an unrecorded deed could not bind the trustee). In California, unperfected (i.e. unrecorded) liens against real property are unenforceable against a bona fide purchaser for value, and Trustee is "clothed with the status of a hypothetical bona fide purchaser of real property under Section 544(a)(3). *In re Tleel*, 79 B.R. 883, 887 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1987); Cal.Civ.Code §§ 1214, 1217. Section 544, however, must be applied "without regard to any knowledge of the trustee or of any creditor." 11 U.S.C. § 544(a). Therefore, actual notice cannot overcome the Trustee's bona fide purchaser status. *In re Tleel*, 876 F.2d 769, 772 (9th Cir. 1989). Pursuant to Section 544, the trustee

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Santa Ana
Erithe Smith, Presiding
Courtroom 5A Calendar**

Thursday, July 21, 2022

Hearing Room 5A

10:30 AM

CONT... Jamie Lynn Gallian

Chapter 7

is treated as a lien creditor and/or bona fide purchaser as of the date of the commencement of the case. *In re Tleel*, 79 B.R. 883, 886 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1987).

While Debtor asserts the Property was actually transferred from J-Sandcastle LLC to Debtor on February 25, 2021, the transfer was not recorded until after the Petition Date. Hays Decl., Ex. 19 at 145. As such, Trustee would be treated as a bona fide purchaser as of the Petition Date.

In conclusion, Debtor failed to carry her burden because, on the Petition Date, the Property's registered owner was J-Sandcastle LLC, and the legal owners were Pierpont and J-Pad, LLC. As a result, the Property was not part of the estate and not eligible for an exemption. The court, therefore, need not address Movant's alternative argument re 11 U.S.C. 522(p) except to say that had Debtor provided sufficient evidence of a transfer on February 25, 2021, the limitations of 522(p) would have applied.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Jamie Lynn Gallian Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Jeffrey I Golden (TR) Represented By
Aaron E DE Leest
Eric P Israel

U.S. Bankruptcy Appellate Panel of the Ninth Circuit

125 South Grand Avenue, Pasadena, California 91105
(626) 229-7220

RE: JAMIE LYNN GALLIAN

Appellant: Jamie Lynn Gallian

BAP No.: CC-22-1155

Bk. No.: 8:21-bk-11710-ES

Adv. No.(s):

OPENING LETTER

Notice of Appeal in this case has been received by the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel (BAP) and assigned the case number above.

Electronic filing using the BAP's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF) docketing system is **mandatory** for all attorneys. Please review the Administrative Order Regarding Electronic Filing in BAP Cases available on the BAP website www.bap9.uscourts.gov for further information.

For non-attorneys, all papers filed with the BAP should be in the form of an original and three copies.

The BAP docket and other court information is available through the National PACER system.
<http://www.bap9.uscourts.gov>

Enclosed are the 9th Cir. BAP Rules governing practice before the BAP. Appeals are also governed by Parts VIII and IX of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (F.R.B.P.), and some parts of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure (F.R.A.P.) See 9th Cir. BAP Rule 8026-1.

Pursuant to 9th Cir. Rule 8003-1, please immediately send the BAP Clerk a copy of the signed and entered order or judgment being appealed if it was not attached to your notice of appeal.

After a Notice of Appeal has been filed, the parties' next step in prosecuting this appeal is compliance with F.R.B.P 8009, which require Appellant to file within 14 days in the bankruptcy court a designation of the record, statement of issues on appeal, and a notice regarding the ordering of transcripts. Under these rules Appellee may also file a supplemental designation of the record and order transcripts. The party ordering the transcripts must make satisfactory arrangements for payment of their costs.

Even if transcripts are not ordered, Appellant is required to file with the bankruptcy court a notice stating that none are required. See also 9th Cir. BAP R. 8009-1.

The parties should note that the designation of the record under F.R.B.P. 8009 is a necessary procedural step in prosecuting an appeal and the parties may not later include in their excerpts of the record documents which have not been designated.

The record and bankruptcy file remain with the Clerk of the bankruptcy court and the Panel reviews only those items which are reproduced and included in the excerpts of the record filed at the time of the briefs. While the Panel may call up the formal record, in practice this rarely occurs.

Further, it is the parties' responsibility to monitor the appeal to ensure that transcripts are timely filed and the record is completed in a timely manner. Under F.R.B.P. 8010(a)(2)(C), the court reporter is required to file transcripts within 30 days of receipt of the parties' request, unless an extension has been granted.

After the record is complete, the Clerk of the bankruptcy court will send a Certificate of Record, sometimes referred to as a Certificate of Readiness or Certificate of Transcripts, to the BAP Clerk.

Appeals are set for hearing in the bankruptcy district from which the appeal arose whenever feasible. To expedite the appeal or if the parties feel argument is unnecessary, they may file a stipulation or motion to submit their appeal on the briefs and record, thereby waiving oral argument. The Panel will also consider stipulations requesting an alternative hearing location or hearing by telephone conference. Such motions should be filed at the earliest possible scheduled date, generally with the opening brief, as once a case has been scheduled for argument, continuance and request of change time and place are rarely granted.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned, deputy clerk of the U.S. Bankruptcy Appellate Panel of the Ninth Circuit, hereby certifies that a copy of the document on which this certificate appears was transmitted this date to all parties of record to this appeal.

By: Patti Ippolito, Deputy Clerk

Date: August 11, 2022

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:
5801 SKYLAB ROAD HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA 92647

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled: **APPELLANT JAME LYNN GALLIAN'S STATEMENT OF ELECTION TO PROCEED BANKRUPTCY APPEAL PANEL** will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On August 17, 2022, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

Service information continued on attached page

2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL: On , I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

Service information continued on attached page

3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL: Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge

PURSUANT TO THE COURTROOM POLICIES AND PROCEDURES OF THE HONORABLE ERITHE A. SMITH, COURTROOM 5A, §VIII. JUDGES' OR COURTESY COPIES, EXCEPT FOR DOCUMENTS 200 PAGES OR OVER, INCLUDING EXHIBITS, JUDGE SMITH DOES NOT REQUIRE JUDGES' COPIES.

Service information continued on attached page

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

8/17/2022

Date

ROBERT MCLELLAND

Printed Name

Robert McLelland

Signature

1. **TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): CONTINUED:**

- **ATTORNEY FOR TRUSTEE JEFFREY I GOLDEN (TR):** Aaron E DE Leest adeleest@DanningGill.com, danninggill@gmail.com; adeleest@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- **ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR and PLAINTIFF THE HUNTINGTON BEACH GABLES HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION:** Robert P Goe kmurphy@goeforlaw.com, rgoe@goeforlaw.com; goeforecf@gmail.com
- **TRUSTEE JEFFREY I GOLDEN (TR):** Jeffrey I Golden (TR lwerner@wglp.com, jig@trustesolutions.net; kadele@wglp.com
- **ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF HOUSER BROS. CO.:** D Edward Hays ehays@marshackhays.com, ehays@ecf.courtdrive.com; kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com; cmendoza@marshackhays.com; cmendoza@ecf.courtdrive.com
- **ATTORNEY FOR CREDITOR and PLAINTIFF THE HUNTINGTON BEACH GABLES HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION:** Brandon J Iskander biskander@goeforlaw.com, kmurphy@goeforlaw.com
- **ATTORNEY FOR TRUSTEE JEFFREY I GOLDEN (TR):** Eric P Israel eisrael@DanningGill.com, danninggill@gmail.com; eisrael@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- **ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF HOUSER BROS. CO.:** Laila Masud lmasud@marshackhays.com, lmasud@ecf.courtdrive.com; kfrederick@ecf.courtdrive.com
- **ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT RANDALL L NICKEL:** Mark A Mellor mail@mellorlawfirm.com, mellormr79158@notify.bestcase.com
- **INTERESTED PARTY COURTESY NEF:** Valerie Smith claims@recoverycorp.com
- **U.S. TRUSTEE:** United States Trustee (SA) ustpregion16.sa.ecf@usdoj.gov